

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.aspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/734,849	12/13/2000	Kazuya Koyama	070639/0133	4741
22428 75	590 11/29/2004	•	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND	LARDNER	SHRADER, LAWRENCE J		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	,

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 =	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/734,849	KOYAMA, KAZUYA				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lawrence Shrader	2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2004 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applied (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) L The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extermining the period of extermining the period of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. The sension and the corresponding amount of the ded statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee are fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cand	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place th application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4-6, 9, 10, 12, 17, 20, 26, 29, 30, and 33-38						
Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: $\frac{1}{1}$ , $\frac{4-6}{1}$ , $\frac{9}{10}$ , $\frac{10}{12}$ , $\frac{17}{20}$ , $\frac{26}{29}$ , $\frac{29}{29}$ , $\frac{30}{29}$ , and $\frac{33-38}{33-38}$ .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: $\frac{2}{10}$ , $\frac{3}{10}$						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Applicant's request for reconsideration has been considered. However, further consideration and searching will be required to properly resolve the agruments to determine if the application is in condition for allowance.